Soros 'person of the year' indeed: In 2018 globalists pushed peoples' patience to the edge

Robert Bridge

RT, 29 Dec, 2018

Since 2015, the proponents of neoliberalism have been pushing ahead with their plans for open borders and globalist agenda without the consent of the people. The last 365 days saw that destructive agenda greatly challenged.

In light of the epic events that shaped our world in 2018, it seems the Yellow Vests – the thousands of French citizens who took to the streets of Paris to <u>protest</u> austerity and the rise of inequality - would have been a nice choice for the Financial Times' 'person of the year' award. Instead, that title was <u>bestowed</u> upon the billionaire globalist, George Soros, who has arguably done more <u>meddling</u> in the affairs of modern democratic states than any other person on the planet.

Perhaps FT's controversial nomination was an attempt to rally the forces of neoliberalism at a time when populism and nascent nationalism is sweeping the planet. Indeed, the shocking images coming out of France provide a grim wake-up call as to where we may be heading if the globalists continue to undermine the power of the nation-state.

Also on rt.com George Soros crowned 'person of the year' by Financial Times, but not everyone is cheering

It is no secret that neoliberalism relentlessly pursues a globalized, borderless world where labor, products, and services obey the hidden hand of the free market. What is less often mentioned, however, is that this system is far more concerned with promoting the well-being of corporations and cowboy capitalists than assisting the average person on the street. Indeed, many of the world's most powerful companies today have <u>mutated</u> into "*stateless superpowers*," while consumers are forced to endure crippling austerity measures amid <u>plummeting</u> standards of living. The year 2018 could be seen as the tipping point when the grass-roots movement against these dire conditions took off.

Since 2015, when German Chancellor Angela Merkel allowed hundreds of thousands of undocumented migrants into Germany and the EU, a groundswell of animosity has been steadily building against the European Union, perhaps best exemplified by the Brexit movement. Quite simply, many people are growing weary of the globalist <u>argument</u> that Europe needs migrants and austerity measures to keep the wheels of the economy spinning. At the very least, luring migrants with <u>cash</u> <u>incentives</u> to move to Germany and <u>elsewhere</u> in the EU appears incredibly shortsighted.

Indeed, if the globalist George Soros wants to lend his <u>Midas touch</u> to ameliorating the migrant's plight, why does he think that relocating them to European countries is

the solution? As is becoming increasingly apparent in places like <u>Sweden</u> and France, efforts to assimilate people from vastly different cultures, religions and backgrounds is an extremely tricky venture, the success of which is far from guaranteed.



Tear gas fired as Yellow Vests and police clash in French city of Rouen (VIDEOS)

One worrying consequence of Europe's season of open borders has been the rise of far-right political movements. In fact, some of the harshest criticism of the 'Merkel plan' originated in <u>Hungary</u>, where its gutsy president, Viktor Orban, hopes to build "*an old-school Christian democracy, rooted in European traditions*." Orban is simply responding to the democratic will of his people, who are fiercely conservative, yet the EU parliament voted to <u>punish</u> him regardless. The move shows that Brussels, aside from being adverse to democratic principles, has very few tools for addressing the rise of far-right sentiment that its own misguided policies created.

Here it is necessary to mention once again that bugbear of the political right, Mr. Soros, who has received no political mandate from European voters, yet who campaigns relentlessly on behalf of globalist initiatives through his Open Society Foundations (OSF) (That campaign just got some serious clout after Soros injected\$18bn dollars of his own money into OSF, making it one of the most influential NGOs in the world).

With no small amount of impudence, Soros has condemned EU countries – namely his native Hungary – for attempting to protect their territories by constructing border barriers and fences, which he believes violate the human rights of migrants (rarely if ever does the philanthropist speak about the "human rights" of the native population). In the words of the maestro of mayhem himself: "*Beggar-thy-neighbor migration*

policies, such as building border fences, will not only further fragment the union; they also seriously damage European economies and subvert global human rights standards."

Through a leaked <u>network</u> of compromised EU parliamentarians who do his bidding, Soros says the EU should spend \$30 billion euros (\$33bln) to accommodate "*at least 300,000 refugees each year*." How will the EU pay for the resettling of migrants from the Middle East? Soros has an answer for that as well. He calls it "*surge funding*," which entails "*raising a substantial amount of debt backed by the EU*'s *relatively small budget*."

George Soros has spent billions in the EU to undermine the nation state. This is where the real international political collusion is. <u>pic.twitter.com/ANXOII7SFY</u>

- Nigel Farage (@Nigel_Farage) November 14, 2017

Any guesses who will be forced to pay down the debt on this high-risk venture? If you guessed George Soros, guess again. The already heavily taxed people of Europe will be forced to shoulder that heavy burden. "*To finance it, new European taxes will have to be levied sooner or later,*" Soros admits. That comment is very interesting in light of the recent French protests, which were triggered by Emmanuel Macron's plan to impose a new fuel tax. Was the French leader, a former investment banker, attempting to get back some of the funds being used to support the influx of new arrivals into his country? The question seems like a valid one, and goes far at explaining the ongoing unrest.



Soros & the £400k Question: What constitutes 'foreign interference' in democracy?

At this point, it is worth remembering what triggered the exodus of migrants into Europe in the first place. A large part of the answer comes down to unlawful NATO operations on the ground of sovereign states. Since 2003, the 29-member military bloc, under the direct command of Washington, has <u>conducted</u> illicit military operations in various places around the globe, including in Iraq, Libya and Syria. These actions, which could be best described as globalism on steroids, have opened a Pandora's Box of global scourges, including famine, terrorism and grinding poverty. Is this what the Western states mean by 'humanitarian activism'? If the major EU countries really want to flout their humanitarian credentials, they could have started by demanding the cessation of regime-change operations throughout the Middle East and North Africa, which created such inhumane conditions for millions of innocent people.

This failure on the part of Western capitals to speak out against belligerent US foreign policy helps to explain why a number of other European governments are experiencing major shakeups. Sebastian Kurz, 32, won over the hearts of Austrian voters by promising to tackle unchecked immigration. In super-tolerant Sweden, which has accepted more migrants per capita than any other EU state, the antiimmigrant Sweden Democrats party garnered 17.6 percent of the vote in September elections – up from 12.9 percent in the previous election. And even Angela Merkel, who is seen by many people as the de facto leader of the European Union, is watching her political star crash and burn mostly due to her bungling of the migrant crisis. In October, after her Christian Democratic Union (CDU) suffered a stinging setback in Bavaria elections, which saw CDU voters abandon ship for the antiimmigrant AfD and the Greens, Merkel announced she would resign in 2021 after her current term expires.

Meanwhile, back in the US, the government of President Donald Trump has been shut down as the Democrats refuse to grant the American leader the funds to build a wall on the Mexican border – despite the fact that he essentially made it to the White House on precisely that promise. Personally, I find it very hard to believe that any political party that does not support a strong and viable border can continue to be taken seriously at the polls for very long. Yet that is the very strategy that the Democrats have chosen. But I digress.

I am all alone (poor me) in the White House waiting for the Democrats to come back and make a deal on desperately needed Border Security. At some point the Democrats not wanting to make a deal will cost our Country more money than the Border Wall we are all talking about. Crazy!

- Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump) December 24, 2018

The lesson that Western governments should have learned over the last year from these developments is that there exists a definite red line that the globalists cross at risk not only to the social order, but to their own political fortunes. Eventually the people will demand solutions to their problems – many of which were caused by reckless neoliberal programs and austerity measures. This collective sense of

desperation may open the door to any number of right-wing politicians only too happy to meet the demand.

Better to provide fair working conditions for the people while maintaining strong borders than have to face the wrath of the street or some political charlatan later. Whether or not Western leaders will change their neoliberal ways as a populist storm front approaches remains to be seen, but I for one am not betting on it.

@Robert_Bridge

Like this story? Share it with a friend!